

MAYOR
JEFFREY A. MACMILLEN

DEPUTY MAYOR
THOMAS ZAMPANO

TOWN MANAGER
MICHAEL P. DOWNES



COUNCIL MEMBERS
BRUCE ABELSON
ROSE MARIE ANGELONI
MARIE E. DIAMOND
WALTER GOAD
VINCENT J. MASE, SR.
NICHOLAS PALLADINO
RONALD PELLICCIA, JR.

TOWN OF NORTH BRANFORD

TOWN HALL, 909 FOXON ROAD, NORTH BRANFORD, CONNECTICUT 06471
TOWN MANAGER (203) 484-6000 TOWN HALL FAX (203) 484-6025

MINUTES

PERMANENT PROJECT BUILDING COMMITTEE

July 10, 2023

Town Council Chambers

7:00 PM

1. Call to Order

Chairman Whalen called the meeting to order at 7:06 p.m.

2. Salute to the Flag

3. Roll Call

Present: Chairman Whalen, Vice Chairman Bailey, Member Fonda, Member Rose, and Member Canosa

Present for NBHS Project: Member Canada and Member Siena

Present for NBPD Project: Member Palumbo and Member Pietrandrea

Also Present: Town Manager Downes; Purchasing Agent Michael Fumiatti; Police Chief Halloran; Deputy Police Chief Lovelace; Amar Shamas, Camil Zuk, and Nick Pimentel from Gilbane; John Luby from EnviroMed; Rusty Malik and Carson Collier from QA+M; Bob Banning with Silver Petrucelli; and John Florio from BOE.

Chairman Whalen wanted to entertain a motion to move up the NBPD signage proposal to after Citizen Statements.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea motioned to move the NBPD signage proposal to 4a.; seconded by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

4. Citizen Statements: None

4a. Proposed Signage for NBPD/EOC project

Town Manager Downes shared the proposal that was provided to the committee. He would like to ask that the Permanent Project Building Committee adopt the attached proposal for signage at the PD to recommend to the Town Council. Additionally, in my discussions with Doug Glowacki of DESPP, he has confirmed that there is a federal requirement for signs posted at construction projects made possible through federal grants that it includes an acknowledgement of the grant source.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea motioned to approve the sign design for the North Branford Police Department and EOC project be adopted with the addition of new text “Funding partially provided by DHS Emergency Operations Center Program” for recommendation to the Town Council.; seconded by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: Vice Chairman asked if Representative DeLauro be moved to the top alongside Representative Candelora. Town Manager Downes shared that it was not necessary.

VOTE: 8-0

Abstain: Vice Chairman Bailey

5. Approval of Minutes from 06/19/2023 Tabled from 6/26

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to approve the minutes from 6/19/2023 for discussion; seconded by Member Canada.

Discussion: Vice Chairman Bailey requested on page 8 for the second sentence where it states He said is unable to ask for more, the word “ aske” should read ask. Also on page 5 Gilbane should be Gilbane’s. Member Pietrandrea questioned on age 8 where it states Mr. Shamas is asked about the VFDs and if it's still an issue, is this referring to all of the VFDs or pertaining to one. Mr. Malik stated it is just the one VFD being discussed. Mr. Shamas said there are still a few over 76 hz.

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Abstain: Vice Chairman Bailey, Member Pietrandrea, and Member Rose.

6. Approval of Minutes from 06/26/2023

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to approve the minutes from 6/26/2023; seconded by Member Fonda.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Abstain: Vice Chairman Bailey, Member Canada and Member Siena.

7. NBHS

a. CSG – Weekly report

Mr. Gombotz shared that Interscape was on site with a security guard desk, 3 teacher desks and all additional furniture that was approved will be installed. He is still tracking down Scott from Insalco in regards to the kiln and a few other items there has not been an update in the last 2 weeks. He reached out to Omni about the network switches that were supposed to ship on 6/27. There has been continued communication requesting if they were shipped and when they are scheduled to arrive. Met with Gilbane and reviewed trade allowances and will be presented at a future meeting. He followed up with the state on the next reimbursement and North Branford should be caught up by month's end. The rooftop units were getting repainted, and they were wrapping it up Friday 7/7/23 they are supposed to return today 7/10/23 for final touch ups.

Member Palumbo wanted to discuss the issue with cell phone service at the new high school and has there been any more discussion on the issue. Mr. Gombotz shared that towards the end of the year it was reported that cell service was spotty and non-existent in the school. He spoke with the head of IT who confirmed the issue. There was a meeting with vendors in the area and it was found to have a \$250,000 price tag for the

system that was being considered. Mr. Gombotz said it was more of a nice to have and most likely won't be reimbursed.

Member Pietrandrea and Member Canosa recommended reaching out to the cell phone providers T-Mobile, Verizon and AT&T as they should be able to provide something to assist with this issue by providing repeaters. Member Canosa stated the BOE was surprised to hear that within a brand new building staff are unable to make a cellphone call if needed. Member Canosa said that reaching out to Verizon and AT&T to see what they are able to provide as a resolution. Mr. Gombotz will have a meeting with Bruce the head of IT and see what can be done.

i. QA+M – Weekly Report

Mr. Malik shared that abatement is still ongoing. They are focused on the ATP's and coordination items and documents, also reviewing shop drawings. There are still some punch list items being reviewed. Once the demo is done the plan is to be back on site weekly.

Member Pietrandrea had a question about the VFDs. Mr. Malik has spoken with the mechanical engineers and will get something written from them about it. Member Pietrandrea said that Mr. Florio said the motors are continuing to cook and what is being done. Mr. Malik said this is a component of the system and the letter they received is that VFDs are running at an acceptable rate. If you look up Baldor, it is a Baldor motor on TRANE equipment and has to follow the manufacturer's specs of the component in the TRANE equipment. Baldor doesn't want to see anything over 15% of their frequency. These motors are pushing between 76 hz-80 hz. Mr. Malik said the information is being reviewed by the engineer and they have to follow their protocols. Member Pietrandrea said he spoke with Baldor and those motors won't withstand 2 years. Member Canosa asked if we could get an independent opinion.

Member Canosa said if it doesn't meet the spec then why can't we instruct them to remove it. Mr. Malik said he doesn't believe that their spec identifies that you can't go over a certain hertz. The issue is more of a best practice issue. From other engineers they are saying with direct drives the way of approaching has changed so drastically. The 60hz can be run higher and it doesn't burn out the motor.

Member Pietrandrea requests Mr. Malik gets a letter from Baldor stating the motors can be run higher. Mr. Malik said that is what he has instructed the engineers to do.

Member Pietrandrea contacted Baldor and they responded that there is no way the motors will be burnt out at that level.

Member Canosa asked how long the warranty is, 1 year? Mr. Malik said he will check the warranty on the motor.

Member Pietrandrea said all of the new motors have grease fittings on them and the rears and how is he going to grease them every 90 days if you can't get to them?

Mr. Malik will pose all of these questions to the engineers. Member Palumbo asked what TRANE said about it? Mr. Malik said they are saying it is fine. Member Fonda said they suck and don't answer a call after the warranty is done. Member Pietrandrea is concerned the motors will be burned out and you can't reach them to grease them. You can't service them without taking them apart. and now a VFD that is maxing them out.. Mr. Florio said as of April 2024 they become the town's responsibility. Member Palumbo said what do we have to do, put a motor on the shelf now? Member Pietrandrea said no they are all special built. Even if it's a standard motor, then it has to be spun balanced and then to go over 60hz there has to be harmonic balancing, that was

never done according to what Janine specified. They are getting vibration noises already and the teachers are complaining. There are harmonics going through these motors causing the noise. Mr. Malik said they are dealing with a manufacturer that has installed these motors and they believe they are within their design parameters to do what they've done. Member Pietrandrea said it should be simple for them to issue a letter and then it will be done. The town is looking for a letter stating the motors can go up to 90 hertz. The letter we received from TRANE was a general letter and did not specify the numbers.

b. Enviromed –

i. Funding increase request

Mr. Luby from EnviroMed came to present the Demo and Abatement 3rd party testing charges. He shared that the original PO was \$119,612; The requested supplement amount is \$64,677. There are two reasons for the supplement request. Reason #1 being the PCB soil lab fees which show that the RFP carried 450 samples at \$75 each totaling \$33,750; the actual samples were 1,280 at \$75 each totaling \$96,000. There was also \$62,250 in additional lab fees. The initial math was off on the RFP estimate. EnviroMed pays the subcontractor lab Phoenix Environmental for PCB analysis and there is no margin or profit on that. Reason #2 is the TEM air sample which is taken at the end of abatement to be sure the area is clear. The lab fees the RFP carried at 8 sets at \$375/ set for 24 hrs turnaround time equaling \$3,000. The actual was 9 sets at \$1,000/ set at 6 hour turnaround time equaling \$9,000. This also includes \$6,000 of added lab fees.

Member Canosa asked if the fees carried are revenue driven. Mr Luby said they are \$75 per sample and there is an allowed quantity in the contract. Member Canosa continued asking about the 1200 is from the linear footage and the perimeter of the courtyard. Mr. Luby said 2 samples every 5 ft. The retest is less than 50 samples, requiring 2-3 tries to get the PCBs out.

Member Pietrandrea asked Mr. Luby is it normal when on site to have demo and construction traffic in an area where you know that may have hot spots before you test and continue working. People with equipment and working could have an impact on the soil. Mr. Luby said the town is dealing with a trade off as they are pushing the demo and abatement. It is a good thing to compress the time schedule but demo on one side and abatement on the other side of the school, but it does cause some collateral damage. Some towns will not allow a demo permit until all of the abatement is done inside the school. In this case they are both intertwined for scheduling purposes, but it can get a little messy.

Member Canosa asked if there was a remedial action plan, a wrap with the EPA. Mr. Luby said it was all performance-based PCB abatement and there was not a wrap in place with the EPA. Mr. Malik did confirm that it was designed as a performance-based abatement. Member Canosa said that is where the issue is as there was no restriction on the site to stipulate how the spec would be driven. If there is no requirement on site to wreck the trades this is what can happen.

Member Palumbo asked how the town was able to get a demo permit without the basement being clean, as he was demoing a building and was not able to get the demo permit without the abatement being completed. Member Pietrandrea spoke with some people on the site and referenced remediation and passed it onto Member Canosa, who spoke to some of the people in his company that handle things of this nature.

Member Pietrandrea there was no wrap plan so there was no plan of action. They still have to follow the guidelines, and who gave the authority to do demo concurrent with PCB testing, because it is going to drive the numbers up. The chances of the numbers being this high are slim to none, if we did not contaminate the site by doing demo at the same time. The town has escalated the time frame but did not escalate to have it all be done at the same time. 50 ft of it is being contaminated and then there's the PCB testing. Where is the plan of action here? Who would not clean the site before demoing? It only contaminates the site more and costs the town more money.

Member Rose asked on a project like this who is in charge, did the local building official issue the permit? Mr. Shamas said typically if it is mass demolition it goes to the building department, and they issue a mass demolition permit for a building that is being completely demolished.

Member Rose asked if we received a new permit or if it's the same as a few years ago.

Mr. Shamas responded saying that this is on the same permit for the entire project. There is no abatement permit as it is regulated through DPH. Typically, the abatement is commingled with asbestos inside the building, outside the building on the facade, and there are PCBs as identified by quantities on the contract documents that were known at the time. Mr. Shamas said anyone could walk on a site and contaminate it.

Member Canosa said that what his understanding is, when you know there is going to be contamination on site, you have a plan in place. Mr. Shamas said there is a difference between contaminated soil and PCBs. The level of PCBs is not tremendous. The threshold has zero tolerance. Mr. Luby said the clearance for the soil is 1 part per million and when the soil is sampled they use a stainless steel trough and clean wipe and rinse the trough in between each sample because of the high probability of contamination. All it takes is a small pin head of contaminated soil to set off a red flag. The amount is not extremely high, and it does cost \$30,000 per dumpster and we aren't trucking away multiple dumpsters. The \$64,000 bill is due to the math not being done properly for the RFP. It has a certain perimeter of linear feet time every 5 feet you have to do 2 samples. It doesn't add up to the 450 samples. when you bid this job under methods and means you didn't figure for that? Mr. Luby said it is not the way the bio job was bid. Member Fonda read the outline for the bidding structure every bidder had a certain amount of samples.

Mr. Malik said there was a recommendation made by Fuss & O'Neill and there was a list put together for the RFP, so everyone was bidding apples to apples. Why those amounts were how they were, we would have to refer to Fuss & O'Neill.

Mr. Luby said the building itself is complicated as some of the asbestos is located within the structural component, so it is tough to remove all of the asbestos before the demo when you need to demo to remove all of the asbestos. It makes it tough to pin it on one specific entity. Mr. Luby said this is normal SOP for a building to have it cleaned prior to demoing.

Mr. Shamas said this building is why there was payment for additional disposal. You can't remove all of the contaminated asbestos without the building becoming structurally unstable. Member Fonda said you can't carry a permit for a demo from a year ago. Mr. Shamas said it is one demo permit, it isn't a phased demo permit. Building demolition is normally one thing.

Member Pietrandrea said if the building structure stays up you do all of the outside soil and remediate and take it out and then demo the building, what is the problem with that.

Mr. Luby said it's not that easy. You can't do the soil abatement until the PCB and asbestos abatement is done. You would have to take the windows out and then test the soil after they have removed the contractual 1 ft.

Member Canosa said the town is contractually bound by the \$75 per sample, the 450 was a mess up by Fuss & O'Neill. They did not do their due diligence. At the end of the day if there are tickets to support 1,280 samples, that is supportive of the amount completed.

Member Palumbo asked Mr. Luby if we are just about done with the PCB abatement. Mr. Luby responded saying they are at the end of the building; they have a group of samples by the locker rooms that should come in within the next few days and then one group of the samples at the "V" that need one more final test. Mr. Luby said they have projected all of the samples within the 1280 samples, all of the work completed through 7/7/23.

Member Pietrandrea asked if the committee approves the \$64,677 that will encumber everything until the end of the job. Purchasing Agent Fumiatti said the proposal was for \$65,740 and the backup through last Friday 7/7/23 is \$64,677.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea motioned to approve the amount increase for EnviroMed in the amount of \$64,677.; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: It was discussed that this needs to be paid and will then get the job completed. Member Rose said from what he understood is that Mr. Malik received information from Fuss & O'Neill and the asbestos had documents saying it was gone and it wasn't gone. Between the Town Manager and Purchasing Agent and BOE and find out who dropped the ball. The town is not getting what they are paying for. Did Fuss & O'Neill make recommendations and the BOE not pull the trigger? We don't know where the ball was dropped.

With all in favor, motion is passed.

c. Gilbane – Report

i. General Construction Update & ATP – Review/Approve

ATP# 0121 for the entrance canopy roof revisions includes installing 3" galvanized leader drains from the entrance canopy. This includes the cost to paint and prime these 6 canopy drains with a zinc primer, similar to the steel canopies is also included. Nick Pimentel stated this was an RFI that turned into a PR, there was a 2" rise that was supposed to go through the HSS tubes in the north and south canopies next year. The RFI would not work with the 2" leader drain. The gutter wasn't approved. The next step was to move to a cast iron pipe, and then switch to a galvanized 3" pipe.

Vice Chairman Bailey asked if we received a credit for them having to paint and prime on the 2" and take off the 3" since it already had to be painted. Mr. Pimentel said there is an add for the painting..

Member Palumbo said it was painted once already. Mr. Pimentel said it was primed at their own risk.

Member Palumbo said this is for one canopy for next year with 2 drains each.

Member Fonda asked about the cast iron piping. every other change had material backup. Mr. Pimentel said they have been going back and forth on the mechanical pricing and coming to odds with the vendor. Mr. Shamas said this was a contractual move.

Member Fonda asked if this was something that needed to be completed now. Gilbane and Mr. Malik shared that this is work that is mostly completed.

Member Canosa asked where the labor credit was as he is only seeing the labor deduct. Mr. Malik said they are replacing the drains in six locations. Member Canosa asked why it would be 100 hours to thread it in the column.

Member Fonda said why are we using cast iron? but then it was changed to galvanized.

Member Canosa asked how they are justifying the deduct of \$3,276.82. Mr. Pimentel said the credit is just for the material. Member Canosa the credit back is \$3,276 and \$4,206.90 for the storm heat trace and the exterior storm piping but it isn't reflected. Member Canosa asked if there are tickets to support this work that has already been done. The T & M came out to \$8,800.

Member Rose said they can take out the future one and they can do that one based on what was in the spec. The next one they can put it inside the column and they own it because they already bid that. We can pay them for what they've already done.

Motion: Member Rose motioned to table ATP-0121 and bring it back for the work that was already done. Stick to the contract in the future; seconded by Member Pietrandrea.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

ATP 0122- This is for a credit for the base contractor who said there was a platform in the center of the gym and it was going to hold the camcorder to record the games, the school has other means to record and it has been removed from the shop drawings. Member Pietrandrea asked how big the area is. Mr. Zuk said it is 4' x 8'.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea motioned to approve ATP -0122 for a credit in the amount of \$1,679; seconded by Member Canada.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

ATP- 0146 is for the added floor drain in the trainer's room in the amount of \$2,711. This is for material, labor and additional 3" PVC piping for the future ice baths.

Vice Chairman Bailey said this is one that was discussed months ago and the drain was not going to be close enough to where the ice baths would be.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea motioned to approve ATP-0146 not to exceed \$2,711; seconded by Member Canada.

Discussion: Mr. Malik said they wanted to verify the rates and recommended approving it as not to exceed.

With all in favor, motion is passed.

ATP 0148 is for the equalizer line for the chilled beam. Mr. Pimentel states this fee is from what Ferguson priced it. It was priced on premium time, claiming the next 2-3 months of availability would require work on a Saturday and it was shared and packaged a week ago and the 40% they owe off of the index isn't clear on their sheet. Mr. Pimentel recommends that if the committee wants to proceed to make it a not to exceed.

Motion: Member Fonda motioned to reject ATP-0148 in the amount \$7,205 for discussion purposes; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: Member Fonda said Ferguson owns it. Their submittal on the 6 way valves, it clearly says that the systems looped heating and chilling should share a common expansion vessel to keep the system pressure balanced so all of the problems they are having could have been avoided. Mr. Shamas said if the contract documents show something different it can work against them too.

Member Fonda retracts motion; Member Rose retracts his second.

Motion: Member Fonda motions to table ATP-0148; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

ATP 0150 -This is for Sequence 3 Combination Waste-Final Reconciliation

Motion: Member Canada motions to table ATP- 0150; seconded by Member Pietrandrea.

Discussion: Member Pietrandrea said the trucking receipts don't match. Mr. Zuk said the discrepancy is about 4.75 tons. The ticket is for 23.58 tons. The reasoning is the minimum billing weight. Member Pietrandrea wanted to go through the trucking numbers. Mr. Luby does agree there is a minimum weight for each load.

Member Palumbo asked what is excluded from the job? Mr. Shamas said their typical exclusions are usually crossed out and not accepted. Member Pietrandrea said there were many overweight. Member Palumbo said all of the tonnages are overweight. Mr. Shamas said there are weight tickets from the facility. Mr. Zuk said it is an independent scale in New York. Member Canosa asked if the tonnage is inclusive of the truck.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea motioned to approve ATP-0150 in the amount of \$192,454; seconded by Member Canada.

Discussion:

With all in favor, motion is passed.

The next ATP is 0157 for adding additional site drainage in the rear parking lot. Mr. Collier said this was a request from K + W once they found a high-water table in the basement area. It was brought as a topic to discuss and QA+M was given the go ahead to get pricing for the drainage. Member Pietrandrea asked Mr. Gombotz how much money is left in the owner's contingency after paying these ATP's. Mr. Gombotz stated that some of these ATP's were included as pending and the current Owner Contingency is a \$1.1 million. An updated budget will be put together for the next meeting.

Motion: Member Rose motioned to reject ATP-0157 and void PR-58; seconded by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

The next ATP is 0159 for the basement foundation ACM disposal. Gilbane has excavated 2 of the 4 sides of the basement foundation walls to confirm that the black mastic is present at these foundation walls. There is 6 ft of mastic.

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to approve ATP 0159 not to exceed \$12,616; seconded by Member Fonda.

Discussion: Member Rose asked about one of the photos on the documents provided. Mr. Zuk said it is one of the test fits. Member Rose said the tar starts at the grade, and the tape is showing 6ft when it should be showing 4 ft of tar. Mr. Zuk said the top shows 6ft. Member Rose said yes 6ft of black mastic and no one tars above grade.

With all in favor, motion is passed.

The next ATP is 0160 for Hung Lintel over 27 SF. The opening for the SF27 is larger than 8' wide, therefore a typical loose lintel cannot be used.

Member Pietrandrea asked Mr. Malik what this was all about. Mr. Malik said there was a schedule with the masonry, and this exceeds the value of that. The engineers issued a sketch for the support for the masonry that is needed at this opening. There is a 16' lintel at this area and this is what the change is about. It was never a structural lintel shown on the drawings. Member Pietrandrea asked why Gilbane can't just use their CM contingency. Mr. Shamas said this cannot be used with CM Contingency is meant for an in-scope change, but this is an out-of-scope change.

Vice Chairman Bailey wanted to ask about 3x3x3/8 angles, and they are paying for galvanizing? Why not buy galvanized pieces? There is no reason not to buy galvanized steel to begin with. Olson Steel you can purchase galvanized steel. It is \$285 and not a large amount but why are we paying for it?

Member Canosa asked if we are replacing an original lintel? Mr. Malik said the drawings did not show the support needed. There was never a lintel there.

Member Pietrandrea said basically it was left out and now we are having to pay for it. Mr. Malik said the engineers estimated about 1,418 lbs of steel for the whole package and the lintel.

Motion: Member Pietrandrea to approve ATP-0160 not to exceed \$19,575; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion:

With all in favor, motion is passed.

The next ATP is 0162 for the glycol relief valve piping in the amount of \$16,924. This was also revised.

Motion: Member Fonda motions to reject ATP-0162 and void PR-57; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: Member Fonda says that the design team doesn't think this is required if anything happens it's on them.

With all in favor, ATP-0162 and PR-57 are rejected.

ATP-0163 this is for the telephone line relocation from the existing telephone poles that run along the existing driveway. The fiber lines were included in the contract, but the telephone lines were not included. This is for the handhold and infrastructure which is the conduit and handhold for Frontier to relocate these lines. It is mostly for the excavation work as they are estimated to be about 6 ft deep and connect the conduit.

Motion: Member Rose motioned to approve ATP -0163 not to exceed \$91,715; seconded by Vice Chairman Bailey.

Discussion:

With all in favor, motion is passed.

ATP -0161 for the masonry facade support. This is in response to RFI 437. This is for costs associated with labor and materials for brick support.

Motion: Member Rose motions to approve ATP -0161 in the amount of \$2,506; seconded by Vice Chairman Bailey.

Discussion: Member Canosa asked, so Acronym is providing this change order. Mr. Pimentel said Acronym is going to put it in, Schenectady is furnishing it. So why can't Acronym provide the other Lintel? Mr. Nick said this is a loose lintel there is a 3.5ft masonry wall and above it there is a gable and you need access from stair 3 and there is nowhere to put the wall up. The other change is more of a structural piece and needs to be welded. The other price was \$145. Purchasing Agent Fumiatti said it's based for time and a half which is why the higher price.

With all in favor, motion is passed.

ii. Punch List – update

Mr. Zuk states that as of today there are only 98 open items for Gilbane. They are continuing to push the trades. The painting of the rooftop units should be completed by Wednesday, weather pending. Custom electric to source additional lighting lenses. The rest of the concrete to be broken up this week..

Member Pietrandrea said we are trying to create a purple band around the lights. The aesthetics they are trying to go with is going to be impossible. Is there a way to pull the band off to see if it's acrylic? The issue is Custom Electric can't get the lights from Lighting Affiliates as the vendors won't sell to each other. The town accepted an alternate light because the design team said it was acceptable. So either the design team pays for the lights to get reinstalled and go with the other lights or take off the band off and see what it looks like.

The only thing it could hurt is if the band comes off and it is translucent it could be an issue. It is the same as the whole fixture. Let's demo one of them and see what it looks like. The other alternative is to remove all of the lights. Mr. Collier said Lighting Affiliates has provided another option, but they are trying to identify where the seam is. What if Lighting Affiliates can provide something comparable. This isn't the owner's responsibility at this time. It's more of a manufacturer issue. Mr. Malik said there is a similar situation with the lighting in the lobby. The sign off was conditional when you look at the spec it was apples to oranges. The lighting company said they can meet the criteria and assured them of that.

Member Palumbo asked about the landscaping where the soil is. Mr. Malik said within the punch list and there is topsoil going into that area. It is being worked on. K + W are waiting for them to mobilize for the back road.

Vice Chairman Bailey asked where we stand on the purple columns in the cafeteria? Mr. Zuk has reached out to Eastern Metal Works to push the manufacturer to own this as the columns came ship damaged and submitted the backup we have to them. there is not a new update at this time.

Member Palumbo was on the side and asked about the sidewalks and we thought it would be started. Mr. Zuk said they were planning to start and got held up. They are supposed to come on Thursday this week. Member Palumbo asked if they were going to take out everything that was marked. He is agreeing to do a mockup to see if he can bleach out some of the areas to remove the rust stains. It has been made clear that as it is now its not acceptable.

Vice Chairman Bailey asked where we were on the irrigation to the ball fields? Mr. Zuk said it is set up. Vice Chairman Bailey said that in the conversation he had with Mr. Merola Gilbane is wanting to take the line here that was mis-piped and loop it around and tie it back on into the 2" line that feeds the field. Mr. Merola wants to put a "T" in it and an isolation valve. Mr. Zuk said it is an inch and a quarter line; he disconnected it on Friday and reconnected a 2" line. His understanding is they put in the correct pipe and there was not a loop discussed with him. Mr. Merola provided a drawing to Vice Chairman Bailey of what Andy is proposing, instead of a "T" it would be a loop. Member Palumbo said they proposed that before and we said No.

The building is down and the foundation is being pulled. Phase 5 the stem is completely done. They are running about 5 days behind schedule.

Member Pietrandrea asked if the town will be charged for the delays in the schedule. Mr. Shamas stated that he will have some cost exposure.

iii. Outstanding Items for NBHS

1. **4/3/2023 Tipping Fee – Estimate** - completed by ATP 0150

8. NBIS

a. Builders Risk Policy – Review

Mr. Malik said there was a kickoff meeting and they walked the job and started to get submittals. The plan is to start with power washing the exterior of the building, starting this summer. The teams are already mobilizing. The team will not be doing any work on the roof until next summer. The goal is to spend the school year investigating the metal roof. During the school year they will be developing shop drawings for approval- and fabrication. They anticipate April break 2024 but hit the ground running summer 2024 and be done by August 2024. Power Washing is set to begin this week. Project manager was knowledgeable about the job and explained what needs to be done.

Purchasing Agent Fumiatti said he spoke with the representative from HD Segur. This is not the time for builders' risk right now most of the liability falls on the contractor. If we were to do it would be about \$23,000. Mr. Malik said the roof that is being replaced is new and there is no reason to suspect any PCBs

b. NB Sign Removal and Storage

New Haven signs is the contractor Barrett hired to remove the sign and they agreed to fix it and store it on the town's behalf.

c. Additional Contracts – review and advise.**i. Clerk of the Works**

Purchasing Agent Fumiatti asked if we need a COTW for this project. The committee agrees there should be someone to oversee it.

ii. Asbestos/PCBs Abatement Company

Mr. Malik said the roof being replaced is new and there should not be any issues with PCBs. any of the testing that was done and none of it came out hot.

iii. Materials Testing Company**iv. Signage**

Purchasing Agent Fumiatti asked if there was any signage we needed to go to bid for. Mr. Florio said it needs to match the signage for the high school. It will be in the base bid.

9. NBPD

Member Pietrandrea asked Mr. Banning about the tank. He was on site with Member Palumbo and COTW Bailey and the tank went in and looked good. There are straps on the tank, it looks like a candy cane. When you put straps on and have a live load, it needs to be flat and linear otherwise it will twist. That is not code compliant. It's coming up from the anchors up. they were asked to fix them, and the workers didn't fix it. Silver Petrucelli and Newfield were notified of it. They did not install the ground that morning from the footing to the second-floor mezzanine.

The other thing is they poured concrete and it was 92 degrees outside, no water. The engineer signed off that they could spray sealant. Member Pietrandrea went out there with a temperature fluke meter at 5:30pm. The concrete was 172 degrees and the guys out there spraying the sealer that's not supposed to be sprayed over 150 degrees. For a few days it looked okay. But now it has flaked, and basically baked. It will need to polish the top or use the chains to see what's loose and then polish it. How do you put glue on it and adhere it?

So if your engineer just got wind of it that means that everyone on site including Wetteman lied .

Vice Chairman did ask at the beginning of the pour if there would be water poured onto the concrete. Wetteman was doing a special sealant that eliminated the need for water that Silver Petrucelli signed off on. Vice Chairman Bailey told them that you do not pour a pad at 90 degree temperatures during the day. He was told by Newfield and Wetteman that they had the chemicals added to it and didn't worry about it; they knew what they were doing. After Vice Chairman Bailey pulled the specs and asked Wetteman again and they said the chemical is in place of the water and they are proceeding as per specs. Member Pietrandrea said the 55 gal pale at 5:30pm. that night called for no temperature of concrete to be sealed at 150 degrees or better and to be sealed 5 hours after the pour. There is a completely rough finish floor and they did not meet any of the

requirements that are within the specs. They need water out there. The lack of response from Silver Petrucelli is tough. Mr. Washburn and Mr. Banning took a look at the submittals, and they did not receive a submittal for this product. It sounds like the structural engineer should go out there and look at it.

Member Pietrandrea also shared there was also a walkthrough for the pump on the outside tank and instead of the expansion fittings why not encase it in a duct bank of concrete. Vice Chairman Bailey said this all came about as there is something wrong. There are 2 expansion joints; 1 by the fiberglass tank and the other one is underneath the footing by the sally port. What is the warranty on everything that is going to be buried there? 2 years. What is the lifetime warranty of the manufacturer for an expansion joint buried under the ground. 4-20 years; In 4-20 years we are going to have to dig up that parking lot and expose those joints and replace them. 22 ft below the ground and 25 ft from the sally port and getting equipment, etc. the code for the fire tank, you can call for no expansion and 6in circumference and encase it in concrete.

Mr. Banning states that his understanding is the tank manufacturer requires some kind of flexible fitting as they understand the difficulty of getting those two large structures to line it flange to flange can be lined up.

Vice Chairman Bailey then looked up fiberglass ductile, mechanical joints couplings. Brad said it would be several months out. FWM can have it in 1-2 weeks. This mechanical joint is made of metal and eliminates the need for rubber. This will increase the life of the system. They cheated the expansion joints. Mr. Banning said this is in Newfield's hands and they are supposed to be researching. They are claiming the committee is delaying the job. Vice Chairman Bailey said it is an OSHA hazard and it could be shut down as it is a mess.

Member Pietrandrea said they built a wall with 4-5 conduits. They're 10" off the concrete wall and protrude through the second floor. There is a 10" gap, so they will need to order a 12" deep cable tray 4ft wide to slide it behind the pipe for the IT racks. The pipes are in the middle of the room and the door will need to be moved over a foot. Mr. Banning aid the door to the IT room and the pipes are going to be further in. The gear lights have not yet been ordered. Mr. Banning said they were approved a while ago.

Member Pietrandrea continues stating the plumbing coming into the locker room, the cleanout is right in the center of the main locker room.

Member Fonda said he noticed on the slab port there is nothing that has sealed concrete that you're going to see.

Member Palumbo said it was encased with 10" boulders against the fiberglass tank. They could have used the screened gravel that was already on site. Vice Chairman Bailey said Newfield came back and said Butler is using what they have on site following the specs they have for the and kicked it off to TriState. TriState investigated and said nothing greater than 6" is to be put around the tank. Now Newfield is saying there is a big debate and hold up on it. Butler is claiming they may have to rent a screener to come back and do it and then bring it back on site and charge it against the sound.

They moved the dirt so many times over the last two weeks it was over and over. It was put where it shouldn't be where the outbuilding area. Member Pietrandrea said they haven't even started looking for propane tanks.

Vice Chairman Bailey asked Member Rose they piled all of that dirt on there and it's still sitting there. Member Rose said on the septic site plan that the septic area is to be fenced in and there is no signage.

Member Palumbo that Butler has a lot to be desired there as they do not have sufficient manpower.

Mr. Banning wanted to discuss an RFI for the connection for the portable generator and its wired out to a box and we said to coordinate the connection requirement with the PD's portable generator not realizing that there wasn't one. It's 1000 amps and the only way to do that is with a series of camlocks. Member Pietrandrea said he thought that was what was decided that there would be camlocks so that anything can be connected to it. He spoke to the electrician on site, the camlock connection any generator can connect with the wiring. He did release that, and it was 50 weeks out.

Vice Chairman Bailey asked in the evidence room did they want to go with rolling bins. Chief Halloran and Deputy Chief Lovelace said they should be fixed shelving units. They recessed the floor by 2" on the pad and if they are not using rolling racks then the pad should come up flush. Member Pietrandrea said he spoke with Mr. Glaser and they are going to fill it in.

a. Tri-State Testing – Increase PO discussion and possible action.

Purchasing Agent Fumiatti said this will be an issue with the material testing and the budget is now depleted. The current shortage is \$850.

Member Rose said he knows they failed compaction is the guy paying for it or is the town paying for it. Vice Chairman Bailey did say there is no temporary water on site and there is going to be a masonry contractor coming in Why doesn't Newfield contact the RWA to put a tap on a fire hydrant with a meter. He is calling up Mr. Merola or Police Chief or Deputy Police chief to get a fire truck for water.

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to increase PO for Tri State Testing in the amount of \$10,000; second by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Abstain: Vice Chairman Bailey

b. Furniture Specification & Review

Member Pietrandrea said there was discussion with the commissioners and the original design it was discussed that there was furniture. It was very specific that they would be ergonomic chairs and certain grades, called steel case chairs. The design was built around a certain manufacturer, Chief met with Suburban and the items were not up to their standards. When we go out to bid, how do we make sure we get what is specified. Purchasing Agent Fumiatti said it would be sent out to bid with the specific items or a pre-approved equal. More than one vendor is to be able to bid on it. Member Pietrandrea said the council approved all of these line items to be purchased and now its a different story but how do we get around or continue to bid it. Who is going to manage it, design it etc. The Purchasing Agent said if we could figure out who the manufacturer is and if they have a state contract, then we could also bid on it. The bid spec would need to be written. Purchasing Agent Fumiatti is just requesting some more information about the manufacturer. The town bid threshold is \$10,000 so anything over that would need to go out to bid.

10. Invoices

Motion: Member Rose motioned to pay the following vendors for the NBHS project; seconded by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Contractor	Invoice #	Inv Date	Invoice Amount
CES	2021136.00-000021	6/30/2023	\$1,679.80
Checkr	865104	7/7/2023	\$255.45
Ciulla & Donofrio	24487A	7/1/2023	\$594.00
CSG	22	7/1/2023	\$6,426.00

Motion: Member Rose motioned to pay Gilbane invoice # 202307-J064 dated 6/30/2023 in the amount of \$800,877.41; seconded by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: Member Rose wanted to ask if any of that \$800,000 was going to Ferguson, due to all of the issues the committee has had with them. Mr. Shamas said no. Mr. Shamas said they don't get paid unless it's warranted. They also got paid for all the equipment for all the future phases. That is why they are slightly ahead. Member Fonda said the second ATP that was rejected was due to it being asked a long time ago.

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Contractor	Invoice #	Inv Date	Invoice Amount
Gilbane	202307-J064	6/30/2023	\$800,877.41

Motion: Member Rose motioned to pay the following invoices below for the NBHS project; seconded by Member Palumbo.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Contractor	Invoice #	Inv Date	Invoice Amount
------------	-----------	----------	----------------

Insalco	101512	6/20/2023	\$16,315.06
Omindata	2005	4/12/2023	\$3,100.00
QA&M	15345	6/30/2023	\$18,552.00

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to pay the following invoices for the NBIS project; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Contractor	Invoice #	Inv Date	Invoice Amount
Ciulla & Donofrio	24487A	7/6/2023	\$911.25
QA&M	15346	6/30/2023	\$1,681.17

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to pay the following invoices for the NBPD project; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: Member Rose asked for the Newfield invoice for \$495201.16. It was said that we hold a 5% retainage and also hold the CHRO

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Abstain: Vice Chairman Bailey

Contractor	Invoice #	Inv Date	Invoice Amount
Ciulla & Donofrio	24488	7/6/2023	\$112.50
IES	17995	5/28/2023	\$2,400.00
Newfield	974-6	6/30/2023	\$495,201.16
Newfield EOC	979-3	6/30/2023	\$26,474.61

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to table invoice # 979-3 dated 6/30/23 from Newfield; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed. Invoice is tabled.

Motion: Member Palumbo motioned to pay the following invoices for the NBPD project; seconded by Member Rose.

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Contractor	Invoice #	Inv Date	Invoice Amount
TRI-State Testing	TSMT11961	6/24/2023	\$1,872.00
TRI-State Testing	TSMT12017	6/24/2023	\$595.25
TRI-State Testing	TSMT12066	6/24/2023	\$1,521.00
TRI-State Testing	TSMT12073	6/24/2023	\$1,884.00

11. Legal: None

12. Adjournment

Motion: Vice Chairman Bailey motioned to adjourn; seconded by Member Rose .

Discussion: None

With all in favor, motion is passed.

Adjourned at 10:32p.m.